Probabilistic methods

Robust methods

Quite often, one has to take a decision without having all necessary information. This is true for organizational tasks (deliveries, planning), prospective (evolution of markets, of consumptions, and so on). In other circumstances, the existing data are very poor and often imprecise. In environmental questions, the physical laws are poorly known.

To replace the missing data by fictitious ones is certainly not a good solution: one computes for hours, and finally obtains a solution, the validity of which is by no means certain: the result will depend upon the data, and no confidence interval is known for them.

Probabilistic methods allow a first analysis, which leads to a hierarchy, in terms of orders of magnitude: one risk deserves to be taken into account, whereas some others may be neglected. Then, according to the needs, one can go further, and ask for a better knowledge of those which have been kept. In this respect, we realized in 2005 a risk analysis for the French "Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique", Saclay center. It dealt with a comparison and classification of risks linked with planes which may fly above the zone, and linked with transportation of dangerous materials, by trucks, near the site. The output of our study was a hierarchy of these risks.

When one uses probabilistic methods, the underlying phenomenon does not need to be connected with "randomness". In fact, in real life, nothing is really "random". But we decide to treat it this way, that is we consider that the risk is of random nature. One decides not to look for the precise causes of the phenomenon. The essential reason is that, in most cases, these precise causes depend upon physical or economical laws, which are poorly understood, and on which there are few data. Take the example of an electric bulb: you can try to understand the reasons why after some time it stops working, or you can simply decide that its life duration is a random variable.

During the years 2005-2006, in the framework of a contract with Veolia Environnement, West Region, we reconstructed the daily flows of 19 rivers in Vendée: they had been...
measured for 37 years, but with 50 % of missing data. We used probabilistic methods (see the book by Bernard Beauzamy and Olga Zeydina: [RDM], reference below). This reconstruction was coarse, but precise enough to get an answer to the question: what is the importance of the lack of water during the summer, and how to solve it? Do we need more dams?

1. A simple and robust principle

The principle behind probabilistic methods is simple: we consider that everything that we do not know precisely depends on a probability law. This can be:

- Some data which are not precise. One would say, for instance, that natural gas consumption in France is linked with temperature, but not in a deterministic way. For a given temperature, many consumptions are possible.

- The law may be poorly understood. In 2004-2005, the French "Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales" asked us to study the risks associated with the debris, coming from the reentry of satellites. In the formula giving air resistance, we considered air density, at various altitudes, as a random variable, and the same for the exponent of the speed. Nobody is really sure that the air resistance is proportional to the square of the speed, for objects at a speed of 7 km/s, in the high atmosphere (100 km).

The result was not a precise point for the fall of a debris, but a probabilistic map: here is where the debris may fall, and with what probability. Such a map is given on this image. It corresponds to the fall of several debris, coming from one satellite, on the East of France.

The dark zones have higher probability to receive an object than the bright zones.

2. Improving the measurements

Probabilistic methods allow an improvement of the measurements, using proper "calibration tables". They are tables of conditional probabilities. They read the following way: assuming that a sensor gives this information, here is the probability of a given error. These laws are usually different along the whole scale of measure: a sensor is usually less precise at both ends. We used these methods in contracts with the French Ministry of Defense (improving the precision of a missile) and then with the Institut de Radiopro-

3. Constructing predictive indicators

Probabilistic methods allow the construction of predictive indicators in a very robust manner. We already realized such indicators on three occasions: predictions of the prize of wheat, of cars sales, of Nickel stocks and uses. The idea is simply to find, in large databases, existing indicators which show a high degree of dependence (not linear correlation), after some time shift, with the quantity we want to predict.

4. Environmental concerns

Nowadays, many Companies need to control their emissions, for instance of CO2, but also of many pollutants. But how to take into account the uncertainties upon the levels of emission? For the so-called "CO2 footprint", we showed that the classical approach contains severe methodological errors, and we showed, taking these errors into account, how to reduce the footprint.

5. What is the difference with statistics?

Statistics allow many treatments upon data: adjustments, regressions, tests, and so on, but they always require an underlying assumption upon the law (for instance the law is assumed to be Gaussian, Poisson, and so on). However, in the situations where we are working, these laws are not known. To make an assumption upon them and to introduce artificial laws is not acceptable: it would be just as faulty as introducing artificial data.

Probabilistic methods do not have this drawback, since our work is primarily to build the probability law: see the example of gas consumption above. This law is drawn from existing data, no matter whether they are numerous or not. The law may be coarse, it is never artificial.

One might roughly say that statistics are a refined form of probabilities, when the laws are known, and that probabilities are a preliminary form of statistics, before the law is known. Of course, if historical data are abundant (sales of some good, for instance), there is no reason not to use the usual statistical tools.

6. Robust modeling

Probabilistic methods are an essential part of our research program "robust mathematical modeling", developed with many institutions, universities and companies, in France
and abroad (see our web site http://www.scmsa.eu/robust.htm for a complete description of the program and a list of participating institutions).

A "robust" method takes into account, from the very beginning of the program, all uncertainties upon the laws and upon the data, but also upon the objectives. Indeed, experience has shown to us that an industrial project never has only one objective: there are short term and long term objectives, production problems, organization problems, human resources problems, and so on. The whole project is always complex; to reduce it to the satisfaction of a single optimization criterion (often dealing with costs) is usually wrong, and leads to improper solutions.

So we consider that one should abandon the search for a precise optimum, and treat the whole sets of objectives as constraints. For instance: "spend 10% less than last year, reduce the backlog by 5%", and so on. This must be obtained quickly. This is what we call "Quick Acceptable Solution". When it has been found, one may start again, in order to improve it, with more precise constraints.

The idea is here that people in charge of the decisions will prefer a coarse and robust solution, obtained quickly, and allowing to know easily what are the favorable configurations, rather than a precise answer, requiring hours of computation, and based upon artificial or false data.

7. Industrial processes

Many people think that an industrial process is totally deterministic. But this is not true at all: the properties of the output usually have some variations, which are considered as unwanted by the industry. Many parameters may influence these variations: temperatures, pressures, chemical compositions, and so on. Our probabilistic methods allow to rank all these parameters in a "hierarchy": which ones are most influential upon the variability of the output? It means that, in order to reduce this variability, one will have to control first the parameters with high ranking.

For each parameter, we compute two conditional probability laws, for the output variable: one law when the parameter is small (lower than the median) and one law when it is large (larger than the median). We compute the difference between these two laws. The numbers obtained by this procedure allow the ranking. For more details, we refer to the book by Bernard Beauzamy [NMP], chapter III. A simple example was realized by SCM for the Water Agency "Agence de l'Eau Artois-Picardie", during the year 2008, and can be consulted: http://scmsa.eu/archives/SCM_AEAP_2008_12_01.pdf

8. Looking for dangerous zones

This comes as a complement of the previous paragraph. We have a process, depending on a large number of parameters, and we want to find the configurations of the parameters
which will lead to a "risk zone", for the output variable of the process. This risk zone might be for instance a quality which is too low, or a temperature which is too high. Our methods allow the description of such risk zones, only from the existing data, and with no extra assumption (for instance, we do not have to assume that the model is linear, or Gaussian, or anything else). This method is described in the chapter XIII of the book [NMP].

9. Archimedes' Method

It was considered by Archimedes as its masterpiece, and was lost during more than 2000 years. We just started exploiting it. The general idea is to compare the existing information to a known information, generated for this purpose. Such a comparison is much more robust than the formulas which are generally used for the computations. Archimedes' Weighing Method leads to astonishing projects; see the book [AMW], reference below.
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*****

Since 2006, SCM has signed a framework contract with the European Environment Agency "Probabilistic Methods for the Environment" (renewed for four years in 2011) and, for robust methods, signed in 2010 cooperation agreements with the Institut de Radioprotection et de Sûreté Nucléaire (Nuclear Safety).